GAINING BUSINESS INSIGHT FOR CLINICAL TRIALS - TEXT ANALYTICS FOR A DATA DRIVEN APPROACH

Introduction

Founded 15 years ago, Linguamatics is a world-leader in deploying innovative Natural Language Processing (NLP)-based text mining for high value knowledge discovery and decision support. Our solution, I2E, helps pharma/biotech organisations (including 27 of the top 50) and healthcare industries to speed up drug discovery & development and improve patient outcomes.





Turn text into structured data to drive analytics. NLP-based text mining provides the capability to look through unstructured text (typically in large sets of documents, from scientific reports, patents, or electronic health records, pathology and radiology reports), and use sophisticated queries to automatically identify and extract out structured data (concepts and associations) to enable the system to interpret the meaning of the text. The end result of this process is a set of structured facts, relationships or assertions, that can be used for decision support

Text mining is the process of deriving high-quality knowledge from unstructured text. Text analytics, particularly NLP, identifies relevant facts and relationships, extracts them in a structured form for review and faster analysis, and connects these facts together in new ways, to synthesise knowledge, and create actionable insights.

Case Study: Extracting Summary Statistics from Clinical Trial Databases

Eli Lilly needed a solution for expediting the extraction, analysis and synthesis of specific statistics from on-going and legacy clinical trial records, for a specific set of therapeutic indications. Doing so would enable the organisation to understand the competitive landscape and focus ongoing research and trial planning.

Dr. Eric Su, Principal Research Scientist at Lilly, utilised I2E to develop automated ways to extract summary statistics on various endpoints from clinical trial databases. Eric indexed two clinical trial databases, Citeline's Trialtrove and ClinicalTrials.gov, and developed queries to extract endpoints for two disease areas (oncology and diabetes).

These queries are now deployed through I2E Smart Query and Web Portal interfaces, so that other researchers and clinical scientists, including non-I2E users, can edit query parameters and generate user-defined output in html or Excel-compatible format.

"I2E provides data that would take 10s or 100s times longer with tedious manual work. It enables downstream calculations to provide insight. Some work would not have been done or not done comprehensively without I2E." Lilly

Pharmacologic Substa	Phase	ClinicalTrialTitle	Median Survival	Number	Time Unit	Study Arm	Doc		Hit
Sorafenib	▼	A Phase II Multicenter Uncontrolled Trial of BAY 43-9006 in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma.	Median OS	3.1	months	responders and non- responders	1 <u>5705</u>	<u>5</u> 1	Median survival (from landmark analysis) was 3.1 months (4.8 months and 3.1 months in responders and non-responders, respectively).
		 Sorafenib versus capecitabine in the Management of Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma 	Median OS	5.07	months	the capecitabine group	1 1752	<u>299</u> 1	Results: Median overall survival was 7.05 months in the sorafenib group and 5.07 months in the capecitabine group (hazard ratio in the capecitabine group 2.36; 95 % confidence interval 1.174-4.74; P < 0.016).



ClinicalTrials.gov A service of the U.S. National Institutes of Health								
Find Studies -	About Clinical Studies - Sub	mit Studies 🗸 🛛 Resources	 About This Site 					
Home > Find Studie	es > Study Record Detail							
Efficacy and Safety of Dapagliflozin, Added to Therapy of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes With Inadequate Glycemic Control on Insulin								
This study has been completed. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: Sponsor: AstraZeneca Collaborator: First received: May 6, 2008 Bristol-Myers Squibb Last updated: September 25, 2013 Information provided by (Responsible Party): AstraZeneca Full Text View Tabular View Study results Disclaimer Purpose This study is being carried out to see if Dapagliflozin in addition to insulin is effective and safe in treating patients with type 2 diabetes when compared to placebo (identical looking inact)								
Measured Values								
		Placebo	Dapagliflozin 2.5mg	Dapagliflozin 5mg	Dapagliflozin 10mg			
Number of Partie [units: participar	Number of Participants Analyzed [units: participants]		198	210	192			
Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight [units: kg]		0.02 (-0.34 to 0.38)	-0.98 (-1.33 to -0.63)	-0.98 (-1.32 to -0.64)	-1.67 (-2.02 to -1.31)			

Doc	n	Measure Title	Least Squares Mean	Units	Weeks	Actual Treatment	LowerLimit	UpperLimi
NCT00673231	188	Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight	0.02	kg	24	Placebo	-0.34	0.38
	192	2 Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight	-1.67	kg	24	Dapagliflozin tablet oral 10 mg total daily dose once daily 24 weeks	-2.02	-1.31
	198	Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight	-0.98	kg	24	Dapagliflozin tablet oral 2.5 mg total daily dose once daily 24 weeks	-1.33	-0.63
	21(Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight	-0.98	kg	24	Dapagliflozin tablet oral 5 mg total daily dose once daily 24 weeks	-1.32	-0.64
NCT0129442	3 86	Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight	-2.13	kg	24	Dapagliflozin : Dapagliflozin 5mg/matching placebo for Dapagliflozin 10mg oral dose	-2.65	-1.60
	87	Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight	-0.84	kg	24	Placebo : Matching placebo for Dapagliflozin 5mg/10mg oral dose	-1.36	►-0.32
	88	Adjusted Mean Change in Body Weight	-2.22	kg	24	Dapagliflozin : Dapagliflozin 10mg/matching placebo for Dapagliflozin 5mg oral dose	-2.73	-1.71
•	97	Adjusted Mean Change in Body	-1 74	ka	24	Danadliflozin tablet 5 mg once daily	-2.34	-1 15

Illustration of data for clinical trial summary statistics. <u>Top</u>: title page in ClinicalTrials.gov for NCT00673231, a trial of Dapagliflozin for type 2 diabetes. <u>Middle</u>: a snapshot of some of the study results tables from ClinicalTrials.gov. Bottom: structured results table from I2E, showing the adjusted mean change in body weight data extracted from NCT00673231 table, with number of patients, treatment arm, outcome statistic, numeric metrics extracted automatically. Left: structured results extracted from Citeline's TrialTrove database using I2E.



Text Analytics for Clinical Trials

Clinical trials are one of the most expensive parts of the drug development process; thus one of the goals of clinical trial professionals is to increase efficiency along the process. Addressable bottlenecks include improving access to knowledge for site selection, patient populations, principal investigators, and key opinion leaders.

Text analytics and Natural Language Processing (NLP) can extract intelligence from internal and external clinical trial data, enabling clinical scientists to optimise clinical trial design and gain knowledge from legacy data.

Clinical Trial Optimisation

- Deliver clinical trials faster, cheaper, more effectively • Improve site selection, study design, cohort selection
- Better patient care
- **Typical questions**
- Understand current trial protocols
- Identify key opinion leaders and investigators
- Patient identification and selection
- I2E can integrate and interrogate key data from different
- sources (clinical trials, scientific literature, EHRs) to address these drivers and provide answers to key questions.

Easy Access to Powerful Text Analytics

- Linguamatics provides access to a range of content options, all accessible on the cloud via I2E OnDemand or via our Connected Data Technology for those with I2E Enterprise edition.
- ClinicalTrials.gov is included in this knowledge cloud, and novice users can get immediate benefit from this valuable source using I2E's Clinical Trial Query Bundle.
- This includes four queries, for stand-alone data extraction or more powerful combination use:
- Indications in Clinical Trials: Finds the diseases covered by the trial
- Trial Overview: Finds data about clinical trials, including tracking, recruitment and administration.
- Study Design: Finds trial design information, for example the allocation, masking, and intervention model. • Study Arm: Finds details about the number and type of study arms and their labels.





Dr. Jane Reed is the head of life science strategy at Linguamatics. She is responsible for developing the strategic vision for Linguamatics' growing product portfolio and business development in the life science domain. Jane has extensive experience in life sciences informatics. She has worked for more than 15 years in vendor companies supplying data products, data integration and analysis and consultancy to pharma and biotech - with roles at Instem, BioWisdom, Incyte, and Hexagen

Case Study: Clinical Trial Site Selection

Merck's Experimental Medicine division needed to locate a clinical trial site that would be able to conduct gastric bypass trials, with the ability to measure gut peptides before and after surgery. The ideal trial site needed to fit many different criteria (see below). Searching for study sites that fulfil all these criteria would be very labour-intensive to do manually

- Experience with gastric bypass trials Experience in methods that are not staples • Has the ability to handle a large study Experience with randomised trials • Has a proven track record in producing quality results in a Ability to measured blood or urine biomarkers, gut timely manner peptides or other Diabetes parameters Use a central Institutional Review Board Experienced trial coordinator with a proven track record • Is in one of a specified list of countries Can handle a surgical trial rather than a drug trial.
- Not be a children's hospital
- Experience other than just comparing two methods of

Using I2E to index ClinicalTrials.gov enabled Merck to run specific queries, to extract "gastric bypass" terms from intervention fields, as well as biomarker, gut peptide and other key metrics from unstructured clinical trials record text. The output was a structured summary table which enabled systematic and rapid analysis and ranking of the possible sites.

The search yielded three ideal sites for this trial, one of which was previously unknown to the Merck Experimental Medicine group. I2E integrated searches across ClinicalTrials.gov and MEDLINE, reduced time burden for clinical trial site planning, hence decreasing overall cost.



Conclusions

- Trial design, protocol modification, site selection
- In-licensing & out-sourcing intelligence
- Competitive intelligence across the industry
- Key opinion leader identification

Linguamatics' agile natural language processing (NLP) text mining software, I2E, enables users to rapidly identify, extract, synthesise and analyse relevant information such as clinical trial site, selection criteria, study characteristics, patient numbers and characteristics that would not be possible using other approaches.

Text analytics for business insights over clinical trial data leads to:

- Enhanced revenues
- Reduced risk





Clinical trial records contain valuable data, and text analytics can transform these data assets into structured information that can be used to answer high-value

- questions in real time. Use cases for clinical trial data include:

- Reduced costs
- Improved care



324 Cambridge Science Park, Milton Rd, Cambridge, CB4 0WG, UK 1900 West Park Drive Suite 280 Westborough MA 01581 USA jane.reed@Linguamatics.com www.linguamatics.com