Using Machine Learning and Expert Human Guidance to Automate Clinical Data Integration to CDISC Standards

Timothy Danford, Tamr Inc.

Files, Names, & Standards

Please Don't Make a Programmer Cry

File Formats are Complicated

;Hom SAS=1;InbreedingCoeff=-0.0844;MQ=35.72;MQ0=0;MQRankSum=0.727;NCC=60853;QD=23.42;ReadPosRankSum=0.727;VQSL0D=-1.687e |0;CSQ=C|ENSG00000223972|ENST00000456328|Transcript|non coding transcript exon variant&non coding transcript variant|620 |||||1||1|DDX11L1|HGNC|37102|processed_transcript|YES||||||3/3||ENST00000456328.2:n.620G>C|||||||||||||||||,C|ENSG 00000223972|ENST00000450305|Transcript|splice_region_variant&non_coding_transcript_exon_variant&non_coding_transcript_va riant|412|||||1||1|DDX11L1|HGNC|37102|transcribed unprocessed pseudogene|||||||5/6||ENST00000450305.2:n.412G>C||||| |||||||||,C|ENSG00000223972|ENST00000515242|Transcript|non coding transcript exon variant&non coding transcript vari ant|613|||||1||1|DDX11L1|HGNC|37102|transcribed_unprocessed_pseudogene||||||3/3||ENST00000515242.2:n.613G>C||||||| ||||||||,C|ENSG00000223972|ENST00000518655|Transcript|intron_variant&non_coding_transcript_variant||||||1||1|DDX11L1 |HGNC|37102|transcribed_unprocessed_pseudogene||||||||2/3||ENST00000518655.2:n.482-31G>C|||||||||||||,C||ENSR000

```
#CHROM
                         REF
                                          QUAL
                                                   FILTER
        P<sub>0</sub>S
                                  ALT
                                                           INF0
        13372
                                          608.91
                                                   PASS
                                                            AC=3;AC_AFR=0;AC_AMR=0;AC_Adj=2;AC_EAS=0;AC_FIN=0;AC_Het=0;AC_Ho
m=1; AC NFE=0; AC OTH=0; AC SAS=2; AF=6.998e-05; AN=42870; AN AFR=770; AN AMR=134; AN Adj=8432; AN EAS=254; AN FIN=16; AN NFE=2116;
AN_OTH=90; AN_SAS=5052; BaseQRankSum=0.727; ClippingRankSum=1.15; DP=139843; FS=0.000; GQ_MEAN=12.48; GQ_STDDEV=15.18; Het_AFR=0
;Het_AMR=0;Het_EAS=0;Het_FIN=0;Het_NFE=0;Het_OTH=0;Het_SAS=0;Hom_AFR=0;Hom_AMR=0;Hom_EAS=0;Hom_FIN=0;Hom_NFE=0;Hom_OTH=0
```

7829.15 VQSRTrancheSNP99.60to99.80

1|DDX11L1|HGNC|37102|transcribed_unprocessed_pseudogene|||||||2/3||ENST00000518655.2:n.482-23C>G|||||||||||||

||ENSR00000528767|RegulatoryFeature|regulatory_region_variant|||||1||||regulatory_region||||||||||||||||||||||

S=0;AC FIN=0;AC Het=23;AC Hom=0;AC NFE=0;AC OTH=0;AC SAS=0;AF=1.209e-03;AN=33902;AN AFR=578;AN AMR=104;AN Adj=6922;AN EA S=192; AN FIN=8; AN NFE=1684; AN OTH=74; AN SAS=4282; BaseQRankSum=0.018; ClippingRankSum=0.264; DP=106203; FS=4.314; GQ MEAN=12. 26;GQ STDDEV=20.80;Het AFR=22;Het AMR=1;Het EAS=0;Het FIN=0;Het NFE=0;Het OTH=0;Het SAS=0;Hom AFR=0;Hom EAS=0; $Hom_FIN=0; Hom_NFE=0; Hom_OTH=0; Hom_SAS=0; InbreedingCoeff=-0.0794; MQ=31.93; MQ0=0; MQRankSum=0.00; NCC=67643; QD=8.10; ReadPosRankSum=0.00; NCC=67643; QD=8.10; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=67643; NCC=6$ 1|7|6|5|2|1|1|0|0|0|1|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|0;GQ HIST=1048|11415|159|57|2884|298|140|17|3|5|1|4|570|210|55|45|16|3|4|17,0|2|1|0| 2|0|0|0|0|4|0|4|2|0|1|1|2|1|1|16;CSQ=G|ENSG00000223972|ENST00000456328|Transcript|non_coding_transcript_exon_variant&non _coding_transcript_variant|628|||||1||1||DDX11L1|HGNC|37102|processed_transcript|YES||||||3/3||ENST00000456328.2:n.62 8C>G|||||||||||,G|ENSG00000223972|ENST00000450305|Transcript|splice_region_variant&intron_variant&non_coding_tra nscript_variant||||||1||1|DDX11L1|HGNC|37102|transcribed_unprocessed_pseudogene||||||||5/5||ENST00000450305.2:n.414+6 C>G|||||||||||,G|ENSG00000223972|ENST00000515242|Transcript|non_coding_transcript_exon_variant&non_coding_transc ript_variant|621|||||1||1|DDX11L1|HGNC|37102|transcribed_unprocessed_pseudogene||||||3/3||ENST00000515242.2:n.621C> G|||||||||||,G|ENSG00000223972|ENST00000518655|Transcript|intron_variant&non_coding_transcript_variant||||||1||

AC=41; $AC_AFR=22$; $AC_AMR=1$; $AC_Adj=23$; AC_EA

Format Specification is Hard

```
/*----*/
    /* Name: ieee2xpt
    /* Purpose: converts IEEE to transport
                                                         * /
    /* Usage: rc = ieee2xpt(to ieee,p data);
                                                         * /
    /* Notes: this routine is an adaptation of the wzctdbl routine */
    /* from the Apollo.
    /*-----*/
void ieee2xpt(ieee,xport)
unsigned char *ieee; /* ptr to IEEE field (2-8 bytes) */
  unsigned char *xport; /* ptr to xport format (8 bytes) */
register int shift;
  unsigned char misschar;
  int ieee exp;
  unsigned long xport1, xport2;
  unsigned long ieee1 = 0;
  unsigned long ieee2 = 0;
```

Are Your Formats Self-Describing?

- Are you formats even defined at all?
 - Programmers have a lot of tools to describe formats, they're called grammars & semantics
- Where is the format "flexible?"
 - Your data is not a special snowflake.
- Can the format describe itself?
 - How much communication is **out-of-band** (i.e. outside the format itself)?

Naming Is Complicated

Reference and alternative alleles of a multi nucleotide polymorphism (MNP)

REF ALT GGGCATGGG GGGTGCGGG

Genome Reference		Variant Call Format			!
	GGGGCATGGGG	POS	REF	ALT	:
REF	GCAT	4	GCAT	GTGC	Not left trimmed
ALT	GTGC	ı			1
REF	CATG	5	CATG	TGCG	Not right trimmed
ALT	TGCG	ı			1
REF	GCATG	4	GCATG	GTGCG	Not left and right
ALT	GTGCG	ı			trimmed
REF	CAT	5	CAT	TGC	Normalized
ALT	TGC	l			!
genome re	resented against the human eference. Allele pairs are e same, all are representations e variant.			n Variant Call Format, ns of the same variant.	

http://genome.sph.umich.edu/wiki/Variant Normalization



Study Data Tabulation Model Implementation Guide:

Pharmacogenomics/Genetics

Version 1.0 (Provisional)

Prepared by the CDISC PGx Team

Notes to Readers

- This the provisional version 1.0 of the implementation guide for Pharmacogenomics and Pharmacogenetics. It is intended to correspond to version 1.5 of the CDISC Study Data Tabulation Model.
- Because SDTM v1.5 has not yet been published, this document remains a provisional release only.

"Multiple Names in Your Standard" Means You Have Multiple Standards

6. Genetic Variation:

a. PFTESTCD and PFTEST generally identify the type of test performed by specifying the type of material assessed, such as nucleotides or amino acid, or the level of granularity, such as codon or allele. See the table below for suggested PFTESTCD values:

PFTESTCD	PFTEST	Notes	
NUC	Nucleotide	Observes nucleotide sequences or values. Performed on DNA or RNA.	
CDN	Logon	Observes nucleotide values, reported in groups of three, in which the position of the third nucleotide is divisible by three.	
		Performed on DNA or RNA.	
AA	Amino Acid	Observes amino acid sequences or values. Performed on proteins, or inferred from nucleotide (or codon) results.	
ALE	I Allele I	Identifies the allele (version) of the gene/genetic region of interest. The result is the name for that allele according to the gene's	
		nomenclature committee.	

- b. --STRESC holds a "standardized" result. The usual cases where STRESC is different from ORRES are unit conversion and scoring of results (as in questionnaires). PF uses a different convention, where STRESC is the result in a format from an established nomenclature. This standard result often includes information that is parsed out into several SDTM variables, such as those for genetic location (PFGENLOC), observed result (PFORRES), and expected result (PFORREF).
 - i. Nucleotide and amino acid location (position) values in PFGENLOC should follow the same rules as in PFSTRESC.
 - ii. Unless a more appropriate nomenclature exists, the standard format for nucleotide and amino acid results in PFSTRESC follows the rules of HGVS nomenclature.
 - iii. When PFTESTCD is ALE, results in PFSTRESC should follow the nomenclature system specified by the relevant gene's committee.

HGVS is a controversial subject in research genomics!

If You Can't Name It, You Don't Know It

- Naming is Important!
 - Inevitably, someone is going to set up a database and make a name into a **key**
- Not All Names Are Created Equal normalized?
 unique?
 structured?
- External standards may feel like a constraint
 - But they are a blessing in disguise.

Standards vs. Research



Daniel MacArthur @dgmacarthur



Standards are defined by those who have the greatest tolerance for interminably long conference calls about standards.

RETWEETS

LIKES

88

95

















6:05 AM - 17 Nov 2015

What Should You Do?

- 1. File Formats are not "a detail."
- 2. It's easy to get Naming wrong.
- 3. Standards Bodies need to include basic researchers as much as possible.

How can we organize our data for both today and tomorrow?

Please Don't Make Your Programmers Cry